

The Tor Network: Freedom and Privacy Online Aaron Johnson U.S. Naval Research Laboratory

June 19th, 2018

Overview



Tor is a system for anonymous communication and censorship circumvention.





Users Onion Routers Destinations





Users Onion Routers Destinations





Users Onion Routers Destinations





Users Onion Routers Destinations



Users Onion Routers Destinations

Tor Projects <https://www.torproject.org/projects>



• tor – Tor client, relay, and onion service



Tor Browser – Web browser over Tor



• Orbot – Tor on Android



The Amnesic Incognito Live System (Tails)



Open Observatory of Network Interference

Motivation

Why Use Tor?

- Individuals avoiding censorship
- Individuals avoiding surveillance



- Journalists protecting themselves or sources
- Law enforcement during investigations
- Intelligence analysts for gathering data



- Over 2,000,000 daily users Over 6000 relays in over 75 countries
- 100Gbps aggregate traffic

Tor History

1996: "Hiding Routing Information" by David M. Goldschlag, Michael G. Reed, and Paul F. Syverson. *Information Hiding: First International Workshop*.

1997: "Anonymous Connections and Onion Routing," Paul F. Syverson, David M. Goldschlag, and Michael G. Reed. *IEEE Security & Privacy Symposium*.

1998: Distributed network of 13 nodes at NRL, NRAD, and UMD.

2000: "Towards an Analysis of Onion Routing Security" by Paul Syverson, Gene Tsudik, Michael Reed, and Carl Landwehr. *Designing Privacy Enhancing Technologies: Workshop on Design Issues in Anonymity and Unobservability.*

2003: Tor network is deployed (12 US nodes, 1 German), and Tor code is released by Roger Dingledine and Nick Mathewson under the free and open MIT license.

2004: "Tor: The Second-Generation Onion Router" by Roger Dingledine, Nick Mathewson, and Paul Syverson. *USENIX Security Symposium*.

2006: The Tor Project, Inc. incorporated as a non-profit.

Tor Today

- Funding levels at \$2-3 million in 2015 (current funders include Fastly, individuals, NSF, Mozilla, Open Tech. Fund, US State Dept.)
- The Tor Project, Inc. employs a team (30+ paid employees) for software development, research, office, funding, community outreach, and user support
- Much bandwidth, research, development, and outreach still contributed by third parties

Other anonymous communication designs and systems

- Dining Cryptographers network: Dissent, Herbivore
- Mix networks: MixMinion, MixMaster, BitLaundry, Riffle, Vuvuzela
- Onion routing: Aqua, Crowds, Freedom, I2P, Java Anon Proxy, PipeNet
- Privacy-focused VPNs: anonymizer.com, anonymouse.org
- Private Information Retrieval: Pynchon Gate, Pung, Riposte
- Others: Anonymous buses, XOR trees, broadcast

Security Model





Adversary is local and active.





Adversary is local and active.







Adversary is local and active.

• Adversary may run relays



Adversary is local and active.

- Adversary may run relays
- Destination may be malicious





Adversary is local and active.

- Adversary may run relays
- Destination may be malicious
- Adversary may observe some ISPs

Security Definitions

- Identity is primarily IP address but can include other identifying information
- *Sender anonymity*: Connection initiator cannot be determined
- Receiver anonymity: Connection recipient cannot be determined
- Unobservability: It cannot be determined who is using the system.

Design

General Tor Functionality

- Provides connection-oriented bidirectional communication
- Only makes TCP connections
- Provides standard SOCKS interface to applications
- Provides application-specific software for some popular applications (e.g. HTTP)

Tor Protocols

- 1. Exit circuits (anonymity wrt all but sender)
- 2. Onion services (*anonymity wrt all*)
- 3. Censorship circumvention (unobservability)

Tor Protocols

- **1.** Exit circuits (anonymity wrt all but sender)
- 2. Onion services (*anonymity wrt all*)
- 3. Censorship circumvention (unobservability)







1. Client learns about relays from a directory server.



1. Client learns about relays from a directory server.



1. Client learns about relays from a directory server.

2. Clients begin all *circuits* with a selected *guard*.



- 1. Client learns about relays from a directory server.
- 2. Clients begin all *circuits* with a selected *guard*.



- 1. Client learns about relays from a directory server.
- 2. Clients begin all *circuits* with a selected *guard*.
- 3. Relays define individual *exit policies*.



- 1. Client learns about relays from a directory server.
- 2. Clients begin all *circuits* with a selected *guard*.
- 3. Relays define individual *exit policies*.
- 4. Clients multiplex *streams* over a circuit.



- 1. Client learns about relays from a directory server.
- 2. Clients begin all *circuits* with a selected *guard*.
- 3. Relays define individual *exit policies*.
- 4. Clients multiplex *streams* over a circuit.



- 1. Client learns about relays from a directory server.
- 2. Clients begin all *circuits* with a selected *guard*.
- 3. Relays define individual *exit policies*.
- 4. Clients multiplex *streams* over a circuit.
- 5. New circuits replace existing ones periodically.



- 1. Client learns about relays from a directory server.
- 2. Clients begin all *circuits* with a selected *guard*.
- 3. Relays define individual *exit policies*.
- 4. Clients multiplex *streams* over a circuit.
- 5. New circuits replace existing ones periodically.
Tor Protocols

- 1. Exit circuits (anonymity wrt all but sender)
- 2. Onion services (anonymity wrt all)
- 3. Censorship circumvention (unobservability)





1. Onion service chooses and publishes *Introduction Point* (IP).



2. Client learns onion address (xyz.onion) out of band.



3. Client looks up IP at an Onion Service Directory using .onion address.



4. Client builds circuits to IP and to chosen Rendezvous Point (RP).

Onion Services



5. Client notifies onion service of RP through IP.



6. Onion service builds new circuit to RP.



7. Client and onion service communicate through RP circuits.

Tor Protocols

- 1. Exit circuits (anonymity wrt all but sender)
- 2. Hidden services (*anonymity wrt all*)
- 3. Censorship circumvention (unobservability)



- Tor directory and relay IPs are public
- Tor connections are made over TLS
- Tor cells have a fixed length



- Tor directory and relay IPs are public
- Tor connections are made over TLS
- Tor cells have a fixed length

- Private Tor *bridges* released via
 - САРТСНА
 - Email request
 - Personal communication
- Meek uses cloud services (e.g. Azure) and domain fronting



- Tor directory and relay IPs are public
- Tor connections are made over TLS
- Tor cells have a fixed length

Pluggable transports

- obfsproxy4 makes protocol look like strings of random bits
- SkypeMorph/FreeWave;
 Steganographic VOIP



- Tor directory and relay IPs are public
- Tor connections are made over TLS
- Tor cells have a fixed length

Defenses

- ScrambleSuit: randomized lengths
- StegoTorus:
 Steganographic HTTP

Blocking Tor Image: Construction of the second se

- Tor directory and relay IPs are public
- Tor connections are made over TLS
- Tor cells have a fixed length

Weaknesses

- Countries have manpower to enumerate bridges
- Network surveillance used to detect possible Tor connections, followup scans confirm

Attacks

Attacks on Tor

- 1. Application-layer attacks
- 2. Bandwidth manipulation
- 3. Congestion/throughput attack
- 4. Correlation attack
- 5. Denial-of-service attacks
- 6. Guard discovery & compromise
- 7. Latency attack
- 8. Route hijacking/interception
- 9. Sniper attack

10.Website fingerprinting

Attacks on Tor

- 1. Application-layer attacks
- 2. Bandwidth manipulation
- 3. Congestion/throughput attack
- 4. Correlation attack
- 5. Denial-of-service attacks
- 6. Guard discovery & compromise
- 7. Latency attack
- 8. Route hijacking/interception
- 9. Sniper attack

10.Website fingerprinting













Possible when:





Possible when:

1. Adversary controls relays.



Possible when:

1. Adversary controls relays.





Possible when:

- 1. Adversary controls relays.
- 2. Adversary observes parts of the network.



Possible when:

- 1. Adversary controls relays.
- 2. Adversary observes parts of the network.



1% of exit bandwidth

1. Users Get Routed: Traffic Correlation on Tor by Realistic Adversaries by Aaron Johnson, Chris Wacek, Rob Jansen, Micah Sherr, and Paul Syverson. CCS 2013.

The Future

1. Improved security



- 1. Improved security
 - Location guards: Prevent traffic correlation
 - Route sentinels: Observe route hijacks
 - Vanguards: Prevent guard discovery



2. The Sniper Attack: Anonymously Deanonymizing and Disabling the Tor Network By Rob Jansen, Florian Tschorsch, Aaron Johnson, and Björn Scheuermann. NDSS 2014.

3. Avoiding The Man on the Wire: Improving Tor's Security with Trust-Aware Path Selection By Aaron Johnson, Rob Jansen, Aaron D. Jaggard, Joan Feigenbaum, and Paul Syverson. NDSS 2017.

4. *Tempest: Temporal Dynamics in Anonymity Systems* By Ryan Wails, Yixin Sun, Aaron Johnson, Mung Chiang, and Prateek Mittal. PoPETS 2018.

The Future of Tor 2. Improved performance



- 2. Improved performance
 - QUIC/UDP: Enhanced congestion control
 - Scalability: consensus and onion services
 - Secure bandwidth measurement



By Aaron Johnson, Rob Jansen, Nicholas Hopper, Aaron Segal, and Paul Syverson. PoPETS 2017.

3. Improved transparency while protecting privacy



What is going on inside Tor?

- How many users?
- How many onion services?
- How much traffic?
- Where do users visit?
- Any attacks on Tor?
- Any abusers using Tor?

- 3. Improved transparency *while protecting privacy*
 - Publish network statistics
 - Monitor Tor for attacks
 - Detect uses of Tor for abuse



What is going on inside Tor?

- How many users?
- How many onion services?
- How much traffic?
- Where do users visit?
- Any attacks on Tor?
- Any abusers using Tor?

7. Hidden-service statistics reported by relays

By David Goulet, Aaron Johnson, George Kadianakis, and Karsten Loesing. Tor TR 2015-04-001. 8. *Safely Measuring Tor*

By Rob Jansen and Aaron Johnson. CCS 2016.

9. Distributed Measurement with Private Set-Union Cardinality

By Ellis Fenske, Akshaya Mani, Aaron Johnson, and Micah Sherr. CCS 2017.
The Future of Tor

- 3. Onionize the Internet
 - Clearweb -> onionspace: single onion services

Debian.org (<u>http://sejnfjrq6szgca7v.onion/</u>)



- DuckDuckGo (https://3g2upl4pq6kufc4m.onion/)
- Facebook (https://facebookcorewwwi.onion)



Wew York Times (<u>https://www.nytimes3xbfgragh.onion/</u>)



- P ProPublica (<u>https://www.propub3r6espa33w.onion/</u>)
- Self-authentication: Invulnerable to Certificate ulletAuthority attacks
- Secure name lookup: Encrypted, authenticated, anonymous (unlike DNS)

10. Rendezvous Single Onion Services

By Tim Wilson-Brown, John Brooks, Aaron Johnson, Rob Jansen, George Kadianakis, Paul Syverson, and Roger Dingledine. Tor Proposal 260, 2015.

The Future of Tor

4. Tor Browser = Mozilla Firefox Private Browser

- Fusion (Firefox USIng ONions)
- Currently: Tor Uplift Project (https://wiki.mozilla.org/Security/Tor_Uplift)
- Mass-market anonymity and tracking protection
 - Disable common attack vectors
 - Eliminate supercookies
 - Perform per-tab isolation



Questions?

























- 1. CREATE/CREATED
- 2. EXTEND/EXTENDED
- 3. [Repeat with layer of encryption]



- 1. CREATE/CREATED
- 2. EXTEND/EXTENDED
- 3. [Repeat with layer of encryption]



- 1. CREATE/CREATED
- 2. EXTEND/EXTENDED
- 3. [Repeat with layer of encryption]





